

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,175	09/10/2003	Kenneth E. Gall	H0004558	7596
7590 07/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Kris T. Fredrick			ALLEN, ANDRE J	
Honeywell International, Inc. 101 Columbia Rd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2245			2855	
Morristown, NJ 07962			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,175	GALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Andre J. Allen	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-10-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
   A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,7,8,10-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurtz et al.

Regarding claims 1,3,4,10,11 and 14 Kurtz et al teaches a sensor element (col. 2 line 50) located on a base 15; a cover (col. 3 lines 28-40) located proximate to said base, wherein said cover comprises a sensor diaphragm 21 and a dimple 20 that form a part of said cover (col. 3 lines 28-40); and a flanged area (col. 4 line 62, col. 2 line 25) formed to a bottom portion of said cover, wherein said flanged area provides a surface for contacting a fixture 18 to which said sensor apparatus attaches and holding said sensor apparatus to said fixture in a manner which prevents said sensor diaphragm from contacting said fixture and inducing errors during sensor operations thereof (abstract lines 8-10).

Regarding claim 2,12 and 13 Kurtz et al teaches the flanged area (col. 4 line 62, col. 2 line 25) connected to and surrounds said bottom portion of said cover 20 and is further positioned parallel to said sensor diaphragm (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 7 Kurtz teaches said sensor element comprises Silicon (abstract).

Regarding claims 8,15 and 19 Kurtz teaches a pressure sensor (col. 4 line 55)(abstract).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5,6,9,16,17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtz et al.

Regarding claims 5,6,9,16,17 and 20, Kurtz teaches silicon and a pressure sensor but does not explicitly teach quratz, ceramic or saw pressure

Application/Control Number: 10/660,175

Art Unit: 2855

Page 4

sensor. However, lacking any criticality it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art of pressure transducers at the time the invention was made to modify Kurtz et al with ceramic, quartz or a saw pressure sensor since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a material on the basis of its suitability and intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. In this particular case it would have been obvious to select the most feasible material readily available to the manufacture after undo experimentation for the purpose of creating a pressure transducer that operates at optimum performance.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,175 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.J.A Art Unit 2855

EDWARD VEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 27.10